Implementing in Prolog an Effective Cellular Solution for the Knapsack Problem

Andrés CORDÓN-FRANCO, Miguel A. GUTIÉRREZ-NARANJO, Mario J. PÉREZ-JIMÉNEZ, Agustín RISCOS-NÚÑEZ, Fernando SANCHO-CAPARRINI

Dpto. de Ciencias de la Computación e Inteligencia Artificial E.T.S. Ingeniería Informática. Universidad de Sevilla Avda. Reina Mercedes s/n, 41012, Sevilla, España E-mail:{acordon/magutier/marper/ariscosn/fsancho}@us.es

Abstract. In this paper we present an implementation in Prolog of an effective solution to the Knapsack problem using a family of deterministic P systems with active membranes using 2-division.

1 Introduction

The aim of this work is to present an effective solution to the Knapsack problem through a simulator in Prolog implementing deterministic P systems with active membranes using 2-division.

The different variants of P systems found in the literature are generally thought as generating devices, and many of them have been proved to be computationally complete. Nevertheless, it is not usual to find in these variants effective solutions to hard numerical problems.

The model we study here, P systems with active membranes, works with symbolobjects, and it provides rules of division for membranes. In particular, P systems with active membranes are studied in [4], Section 7.2.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 briefly presents a solution to the decision Knapsack problem using a family of deterministic P systems with active membranes in linear time; Section 3 shows some ideas about the simulator developed in Prolog used to implement this solution; a standard work session with the interface provided with the simulator is presented in Section 4; finally, in Section 5 some conclusions and future work about the subject of this paper are presented.

2 Solving the Decision Knapsack Problem in Linear Time

The decision Knapsack problem can be stated as follows: Given a knapsack of capacity $k \in \mathbf{N}$, a set A of n elements, where each element has a "weight" $w_i \in \mathbf{N}$ and a "value" $v_i \in \mathbf{N}$, and a constant $c \in \mathbf{N}$, decide whether or not there exists a subset of A such that its weight does not exceed k and its value is greater than or equal to c.

We will represent the instances of the problem using tuples of the form $(n, (w_1, \ldots, w_n), w_n)$ $(v_1,\ldots,v_n), k, c)$, where n is the size of the set A, (w_1,\ldots,w_n) and (v_1,\ldots,v_n) are the weights and values, respectively, of the elements of A, and k and c are the two bound constants. We can define in a natural way additive functions w and v that correspond to the data in the instance.

The family of recognizer P systems with active membranes using 2-division, without cooperation nor dissolution nor priority among rules solving this problem is presented in [7], and we refer the reader to this paper for a complete understanding of the process followed by this family, and for the formal verification that this family in fact solves the Knapsack problem.

The family presented here is $\mathbf{\Pi} = (\Pi(\langle n, c, k \rangle), \Sigma(n, c, k), i(n, c, k))$, where the input alphabet is $\Sigma(n, c, k) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, the input membrane is i(n, c, k) = e, and the P system

$$\Pi(\langle n, c, k \rangle) = (\Gamma(n, c, k), \{e, s\}, \mu, \mathcal{M}_s, \mathcal{M}_e, R)$$

is defined as follows:

• Working alphabet:

. . -

 $\Gamma(n,c,k) = \{a_0, a, \bar{a}_0, \bar{a}, b_0, b, \bar{b}_0, \bar{b}, \hat{b}_0, \hat{b}, d_+, d_-, e_0, \dots, e_n, q_0, \dots, q_{2k+1}, d_{2k+1}, d_{$ $q, \bar{q}, \bar{q}_0, \ldots, \bar{q}_{2c+1}, x_0, \ldots, x_n, y_0, \ldots, y_n, y_{cs}, no, z_0, \ldots, z_{2n+2k+2c+6}, \#\}.$

- Membrane structure: $\mu = [s \ [e \]_e \]_s$.
- Initial multisets: $\mathcal{M}_s = z_0$; $\mathcal{M}_e = e_0 \bar{a}^k \bar{b}^c$.

• The set of evolution rules, R, consists of the following rules:

Notice that membrane labelled with e is the input membrane where the weights and values are provided. Therefore, in the beginning the multiplicities of objects x_i and y_i (with $1 \leq j \leq n$) encode the weights and the values, respectively, of the corresponding elements of A. The multiplicities of a and b encode the constants k and c respectively. In the membranes which will encode a subset of A, the multiplicities of a_0 and b_0 will encode the total weight and value of the corresponding subset. The other objects are used due to technical reasons.

3 The Simulator

For the simulation of these P systems we use a new version of the simulator presented in [2]. This simulator is written in Prolog¹. This language is expressive enough to handle symbolic knowledge in a natural way and has the ability of evolving the different configurations following a set of rules.

On one hand, the tree-based data structure and the use of infix operators defined *ad hoc* by the programmer allow us to *simulate* the natural language and the user can follow the evolution of the system without any knowledge of Prolog. On the other hand, the structure of membranes and the rules of the systems are Prolog facts, so the design of the inference engine to do the evolutions has a natural treatment from a programmer point of view.

In the current version, the simulator has two different parts. First, we can consider the inference engine. It is a Prolog program which takes as input an initial configuration of any P system and a set of rules and carry out the evolution. The unique condition to get a faithfully evolution of the system is dealing with a deterministic P system. Let us emphasize that the inference engine is completely general, that is, it does not depend on the P system considered at all. Moreover, the simulator provides a tool to automatically build the initial configuration and the set of the rules for concrete instances of some well known **NP**-complete problems (e.g., SAT, Validity, Subset Sum and, of course, the Knapsack problem).

The new version of the simulator improves the previous one. The main improvements are related to programming techniques. From an user point of view, the new version provides an easier way of introducing the parameters and offers a broader set of types of problems to solve.

Nevertheless, the formal representation in Prolog of the basic structures of P systems is the same as the one introduced in [2].

Let us remember that a given configuration for the membrane structure will be expressed by means of a labelled tree. In short, [] is the *position* to denote the root of the tree and it will be associated with the skin, while [i] will denote the *position* of the *i*-th inner membrane to the skin.

In a general form, to denote that in the t-th step of its evolution the P system, P, has a membrane at position [pos] with label h, polarity α and m as multiset, we shall write

P :: $h \in \alpha$ at [pos] with m at_time t

By means of some new function symbols, the rules are also represented as literals, according to the following format:

- (a) $[_h x \to y]_h^{\alpha}$ P rule x evolves_to [y] in h ec α
- (b) $x_{[h]}_{h}^{\alpha_{1}} \rightarrow [_{h}y]_{h}^{\alpha_{2}}$ P rule x out_of h ec α_{1} sends_in y of h ec α_{2}

¹A good starting point can be [1] or [10].

```
(c) [{}_{h}x]_{h}^{\alpha_{1}} \rightarrow [{}_{h}]_{h}^{\alpha_{2}}y

P rule x inside_of h ec \alpha_{1} sends_out y of h ec \alpha_{2}

(d) [{}_{h}x]_{h}^{\alpha_{1}} \rightarrow [{}_{h}y]_{h}^{\alpha_{2}}[{}_{h}z]_{h}^{\alpha_{3}}

P rule x inside_of h ec \alpha_{1} divides_into y inside_of h ec \alpha_{2}

and z inside_of h ec \alpha_{3}
```

The simulator also deals with dissolution rules although they are not used in the presented solution to solve the Knapsack problem.

4 A Prolog Session

In this section² we show a session for a small instance of the problem. We consider a set $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ with four elements (N = 4), with weights $w(a_1) = 3$, $w(a_2) = 2$, $w(a_3) = 3$, $w(a_4) = 1$ and values $v(a_1) = 1$, $v(a_2) = 3$, $v(a_3) = 3$, $v(a_4) = 2$. The question is to decide whether or not there exists $B \subseteq A$ such that the weights of the elements in B do not exceed 3 (k = 3) and their values are greater than 4 (c = 4).

The following two Prolog facts allow us to represent the initial configuration associated with the previous instance of the problem (we have chosen the name p1 to denote the P system that solves the above instance of the problem).

```
p1 :: s ec 0 at [] with [z0] at_time 0.
p1 :: e ec 0 at [1] with [e0, a_, a_, a_,
b_, b_, b_, b_, b_,
x1, x1, x1,
x2, x2,
x3, x3, x3,
x4,
y1,
y2, y2, y2,
y3, y3, y3,
y4, y4]
```

at_time 0.

The set of rules depends on the parameters and it is automatically generated by the simulator. We have 89 rules in this example. Some of them are listed in the appendix.

To start with the simulation of the evolution of the P system p1 from the time 0 we type the following command.

?- evolve(p1,0).

The simulator returns the configuration at time 1, the set of used rules and additional information about the elements outside the skin. The multisets are represented as lists of pairs obj-n, where obj is an object and n is the multiplicity of obj in the multiset.

?- evolve(p1,0).

p1 :: s ec 0 at [] with [z1-1] at_time 1

²The simulator is available via e-mail from the authors

* The rule 57 has been used only once

In this step only rules 1 and 57 have been applied. To obtain the next configuration in the evolution of p1, now we type:

```
?- evolve(p1,1).
p1 :: s ec 0 at [] with [z2-1] at_time 2
p1 :: e ec -1 at [1] with [a-3, bg-4, q0-1, q_-1, x1-3,
           x2-2, x3-3, x4-1, y1-1, y2-3, y3-3, y4-2] at_time 2
p1 :: e ec 0 at [2] with [a_-3, b_-4, e1-1, x0-3, x1-2,
           x2-3, x3-1, y0-1, y1-3, y2-3, y3-2] at_time 2
p1 :: e ec 1 at [3] with [a_-3, b_-4, e1-1, x0-3, x1-2,
           x2-3, x3-1, y0-1, y1-3, y2-3, y3-2] at_time 2
Used rules in the step 1:
  * The rule 6 has been used only once
  * The rule 14 has been used 3 times
  * The rule 15 has been used 2 times
       evolution rules from set (b) (see Appendix)
  * The rule 22 has been used only once
  * The rule 25 has been used 4 times
  * The rule 26 has been used 3 times
  * The rule 58 has been used only once
```

At this step, the first relevant membrane (that is, membranes having negative charge and containing an object q_0) appears at position [1]. This membrane is associated with the empty set. The membrane at position [2] will continue dividing to generate new membranes. All the subsets associated with these descendent membranes will contain the object a_1 . On the other hand, the membrane at position [3] is responsible of the membranes corresponding to all the subsets that do not contain object a_1 .

Notice that rules from (b) have been applied. That is, the weight and values calculation stage has already begun. This stage takes place in parallel with the generation one. Immediately after an element a_j is added to the associated subset (i.e., when an object e_j appears in a membrane with neutral charge), $w(a_j)$ new copies of a_0 and $v(a_j)$ new copies of b_0 are generated in the membrane. This process is controlled by the rules in (b). The purpose of the system's first stage is to generate a single relevant membrane for each subset, i.e., 2^n relevant membranes in all. Other non relevant membranes are generated as well due to technical reasons. This is done in the first 2n + 2 steps of the computation.

The simulator also allows us to go to a configuration at time \mathbb{N} without showing the previous steps by typing the following command.

In this step the second relevant membrane is obtained. Note that the electric charge is negative and the object q0 belongs to it. The subset associated with this membrane is the unitary subset $\{a_1\}$. Let us observe that there are three copies of a0 ($w(a_1) = 3$) and one copy of b0g ($v(a_1) = 1$).

At this step, the membrane at position [2] starts the checking stage for the weight function w. Note that a new relevant membrane appears at position [3]. The associated subset with this membrane is the unitary subset $\{a_2\}$. In the following steps new relevant membranes appear and the corresponding checking stages start.

In this step the relevant membrane associated with the total subset appears at position [24]. It is the last relevant membrane to be generated, that is, no more division will take place in the rest of the computation and no new relevant membranes will appear.

Let us focus on the membrane at position [12]. This membrane encodes the subset $\{a_2, a_4\}$, which is the only solution for the instance considered in our example. We have already carried out two steps of the checking stage for w (note the counter q2).

The checking stage has finished in this membrane. There was the same amount of objects a and a0 so the checking has been successful. Next, a transition step is carried out that leads to the checking stage for the values.

```
.....?
?- configuration(p1,24).
...
p1 :: e ec 1 at [12] with [b0-1, q_8-1] at_time 25
...
```

Notice that the checking for the values is also successful because the number of objects b0 was greater than the number of objects b.

```
?- evolve(p1,25).
```

The inner membrane at position [12] is now ready to send to the skin an object yes.

?- evolve(p1,26).
p1 :: s ec 0 at [] with [# -127, yes-1, z27-1] at_time 27
...
p1 :: e ec 0 at [12] with [] at_time 27
...
Used rules in the step 26:
 * The rule 56 has been used only once
 * The rule 83 has been used only once
...
?- configuration(p1,28).
p1 :: s ec 0 at [] with [# -127, d1-1, d2-1, yes-1] at_time 29
...

Used rules in the step 28: * The rule 85 has been used only once

In this step all inner processes are over. The only object that evolves is z27 (27 = 2N + 2K + 2C + 5).

At this step the object yes is sent out of the system. To check the system we try to evolve one more time, though this is a halting configuration.

```
?- evolve(p1,31).
No more evolution!
The P-system p1 has already reached a halting
configuration at step 31
```

5 Conclusions and Future Work

In this paper we have pointed out that P systems are suitable to solve numerical NPcomplete problems. We have presented a family of deterministic P systems with active membranes using 2-division that solves the the Knapsack problem in an *effective* way (in fact, in linear time). As well we have shown a simulation of a concrete instance of the problem using the Prolog simulator introduced in [2].

It is not easy to find examples in the literature related to effective solutions of **NP**– problems using P systems and a lot of work remains to be done in this field. Looking for general patrons and recycling subroutines in the algorithms are open problems.

Following this idea, an effective tool for simulation allows us to carried out faithful studies of the evolution of the system and to check, step by step, how the rules are applied.

Besides the scope of the Prolog program that we have presented goes beyond the tool of simulation itself. Both the chosen way to represent the knowledge about of the system and the design of the inference engine lead us towards putting in touch P systems with other well known problems and techniques of computation and Artificial Intelligence which have a natural representation in Prolog. We think that this connection deserves to be deeply studied in the next future.

Acknowledgement

Support this research through the project TIC2002-04220-C03-01 of the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología of Spain, cofinanced by FEDER funds, is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- I. Bratko, PROLOG Programming for Artificial Intelligence, Third edition, Addison-Wesley, 2001.
- [2] A. Cordón-Franco, M.A. Gutiérrez-Naranjo, M.J. Pérez-Jiménez, F. Sancho-Caparrini, A Prolog simulator for deterministic P systems with active membranes, New Generation Computing. In Press.
- Gh. Păun, Computing with membranes, Journal of Computer and System Sciences, 61 (1), 2000, 108-143.
- [4] Gh. Păun, Membrane Computing. An introduction, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [5] Gh. Păun, G. Rozenberg, A guide to membrane computing, *Theoretical Computer Science* 287, 2002, 73–100.
- [6] Gh. Păun, G. Rozenberg, A. Salomaa, Membrane computing with external output, Fundamenta Informaticae, 41 (3), 2000, 313–340.
- [7] M.J. Pérez-Jiménez, A. Riscos-Núñez, A linear solution for the Knapsack problem using active membranes, Submitted.
- [8] M.J. Pérez-Jiménez, A. Romero-Jiménez, F. Sancho-Caparrini, A polynomial complexity class in P systems using membrane division, 5th Workshop on Descriptional Complexity of Formal Systems, July 12–14 2003, Budapest, Hungary.
- [9] The P Systems Web Page: http://psystems.disco.unimib.it/.
- [10] Logic Programming: http://www.afm.sbu.ac.uk/logic-prog/.

6 Appendix

In what follows, we show the rules generated by the simulator for the instance of the problem considered in Section 4. Note that the number after ****** is the ordinal.

```
% Set (a)
p1 rule e0 inside_of e ec 0 divides_into q inside_of e ec -1
    and e0 inside_of e ec 1 ** 1.
        ...
p1 rule e4 inside_of e ec 0 divides_into q inside_of e ec -1
    and e4 inside_of e ec 1 ** 5.
        ...
p1 rule e3 inside_of e ec 1 divides_into e4 inside_of e ec 0
    and e4 inside_of e ec 1 ** 9.
```

% Set (b) p1 rule x0 evolves_to [a0_] in e ec 0 ** 10. p1 rule y0evolves_to[b0_] in e ec 0 ** 11. p1 rule x0 evolves_to [] in e ec 1 ** 12. p1 rule y0 evolves_to [] in e ec 1 ** 13. p1 rule x1 evolves_to [x0] in e ec 1 ** 14. p1 rule x4 evolves_to [x3] in e ec 1 ** 17. p1 rule y1 evolves_to [y0] in e ec 1 ** 18. p1 rule y4 evolves_to [y3] in e ec 1 ** 21. % Set (c) p1 rule q evolves_to [q_, q0] in e ec -1 ** 22. p1 rule b0_ evolves_to [b0g] in e ec -1 ** 23. p1 rule a0_ evolves_to [a0] in e ec -1 ** 24. p1 rule b_ evolves_to [bg] in e ec -1 ** 25. p1 rule a_ evolves_to [a] in e ec -1 ** 26. % Set (d) p1 rule a0 inside_of e ec -1 sends_out # of e ec 0 ** 27. p1 rule a inside_of e ec 0 sends_out # of e ec -1 ** 28. % Set (e) p1 rule q0 evolves_to [q1] in e ec -1 ** 29. p1 rule q2 evolves_to [q3] in e ec -1 ** 30. p1 rule q4 evolves_to [q5] in e ec -1 ** 31. p1 rule q6 evolves_to [q7] in e ec -1 ** 32. p1 rule q1 evolves_to [q2] in e ec 0 ** 33. p1 rule q3 evolves_to [q4] in e ec 0 ** 34. p1 rule q5 evolves_to [q6] in e ec 0 ** 35. % Set (f) p1 rule q1 inside_of e ec -1 sends_out # of e ec 1 ** 36.

```
p1 rule q3 inside_of e ec -1 sends_out # of e ec 1 ** 37.
p1 rule q5 inside_of e ec -1 sends_out # of e ec 1 ** 38.
p1 rule q7 inside_of e ec -1 sends_out # of e ec 1 ** 39.
% Set (g)
p1 rule q_ evolves_to [q_0] in e ec 1 ** 40.
p1 rule b0g evolves_to [b0] in e ec 1 ** 41.
p1 rule bg evolves_to [b] in e ec 1 ** 42.
p1 rule a evolves_to [] in e ec 1 ** 43.
% Set (h)
p1 rule b0 inside_of e ec 1 sends_out # of e ec 0 ** 44.
p1 rule b inside_of e ec 0 sends_out # of e ec 1 ** 45.
% Set (i)
p1 rule q_0 evolves_to [q_1] in e ec 1 ** 46.
p1 rule q_2 evolves_to [q_3] in e ec 1 ** 47.
p1 rule q_4 evolves_to [q_5] in e ec 1 ** 48.
p1 rule q_6 evolves_to [q_7] in e ec 1 ** 49.
p1 rule q_8 evolves_to [q_9] in e ec 1 ** 50.
p1 rule q_1 evolves_to [q_2] in e ec 0 ** 51.
p1 rule q_3 evolves_to [q_4] in e ec 0 ** 52.
p1 rule q_5 evolves_to [q_6] in e ec 0 ** 53.
p1 rule q_7 evolves_to [q_8] in e ec 0 ** 54.
% Set (j)
p1 rule q_9 inside_of e ec 1 sends_out yes of e ec 0 ** 55.
p1 rule q_9 inside_of e ec 0 sends_out yes of e ec 0 ** 56.
% Set (k)
p1 rule z0 evolves_to [z1] in s ec 0 ** 57.
            . . .
```

p1 rule z27 evolves_to [z28] in s ec 0 ** 84. p1 rule z28 evolves_to [d1, d2] in s ec 0 ** 85. % Set (1) p1 rule d1 inside_of s ec 0 sends_out d1 of s ec 1 ** 86. p1 rule d2 evolves_to [no] in s ec 1 ** 87. p1 rule yes inside_of s ec 1 sends_out yes of s ec 0 ** 88. p1 rule no inside_of s ec 1 sends_out no of s ec 0 ** 89.